



# **TADLP Prioritization, Nomination, Approval, Contracting Process**

## **16 March 2007**

**Joe DeLizzio**  
**C, Courseware Management team**  
[joseph.delizzio@us.army.mil](mailto:joseph.delizzio@us.army.mil)  
**757-878-2142**

**Mary Boyd**  
**C, Contract Support Team**  
[mary.a.boyd@us.army.mil](mailto:mary.a.boyd@us.army.mil)  
**757-878-3608**



# **TADLP Prioritization, Nomination, Approval, Contracting Process**

- ❖ **Nomination and Prioritization - Proponents & TPIO dL**
- ❖ **Proponents submit course nominations to TDADD along with:**
  - ❖ CAD & SI (19 Q)
  - ❖ training strategy
  - ❖ maintenance strategy including life cycle maintenance
  - ❖ certification of availability of GFI (task analysis, TSP, SME, etc.)
  - ❖ verification course in ATRRS & has ATRRS course number
  - ❖ verification POI & ITP submitted



# **TADLP Prioritization, Nomination,**

## **Approval, Contracting Process**

- ❖ **TDADD lists courseware prioritization on FY course list (funding approval process continues; establish priority, dollars, & cut line)**
- ❖ **TDADD sends FY funding list to ATSC**
- ❖ **Proponents complete and submit DO using DO Template (New/Redesign or Maintenance)**
  - ❖ **proponent review DO Template for compliance with standards and complete for submission**
- ❖ **DO reviewed by ATSC/ITSD**
  - ❖ **DO Template converted to latest DO Template standards as required**
- ❖ **DO pricing and Contract Requirement Review (CRR) (old CAAS) process begins**

# **Common Problems Which Delay Development Process**

- ❖ **No GFI Certification - not having GFI at contract start, selecting lessons which have frequent & changing doctrine, and are not stable**
- ❖ **Not submitting DO on time or DO incomplete**
- ❖ **Submitting DO - using wrong DO Template (not current or wrong New vs Maintenance version)**
- ❖ **Not having a solid training and fielding (design) strategy**
- ❖ **Not raising development issues to COR/CWM and NRCC**
- ❖ **Not holding to review contract-suspense requirements**
- ❖ **Not addressing waiver requirements at start of the contract process**
- ❖ **Not having dL course in ATRRS**



# **TADLP Prioritization, Nomination,**

## **Approval, Contracting Process**

### **❖ DO pricing and Contract Requirement Review (CRR) process begins**

- ❖ IGCE/CME (Cost & Contractor Manyear Equivalent)
- ❖ SA Form
- ❖ Form 30
- ❖ CRR submitted
- ❖ CRR approval
- ❖ Contract exception & approval

### **❖ CRR process for *school-funded* courses will be completed by proponent and will get approval through local command channels**



# **TADLP Prioritization, Nomination, Approval, Contracting Process**

- ❖ **IGE and PR&C (Purchase Request & Commitment) completed and submitted to NRCC**
- ❖ **NRCC submits Request for Proposal (RFP) to contractors**
- ❖ **NRCC receives proposals**
- ❖ **NRCC schedules and holds Technical Evaluation Boards (TEB)**
- ❖ **Contract awarded**
- ❖ **Post Awards held - development starts**



# Common Problems Which Delay Contract Award Process

- ❖ Changes in priorities (at school and/or HQ, TRADOC) result in confusion among key players (proponents, TDADD, and ATSC) on courses to be funded.
- ❖ Incomplete proponent information in DO Template or wrong template used
- ❖ Changes in Forms used for processing DOs (example, SA, Form 30, DO Template).
- ❖ Lengthy Contract Requirement Review (CRR) and approval process; takes 30-90 days.



# Backup





# Army dL Courseware Development Approval Process

## Decision Process for Approving New dL Courseware Development

